

SECONDARY MULTIPLICATION IN TATE COHOMOLOGY OF GENERALIZED QUATERNION GROUPS

MARTIN LANGER

ABSTRACT. Let k be a field and let G be a finite group. By a theorem of D. Benson, H. Krause and S. Schwede, there is a canonical element in the Hochschild cohomology of the Tate cohomology $\gamma_G \in HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(G)$ with the following property: Given any graded $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module X , the image of γ_G in $\text{Ext}_{\hat{H}^*(G)}^{3,-1}(X, X)$ is zero if and only if X is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\hat{H}^*(G, M)$ for some kG -module M . In particular, if $\gamma_G = 0$ then every module is a direct summand of a realizable $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module.

We prove that the converse of that last statement is not true by studying in detail the case of generalized quaternion groups. Suppose that k is a field of characteristic 2 and G is generalized quaternion of order 2^n with $n \geq 3$. We show that γ_G is non-trivial for all n , but there is an $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module detecting this non-triviality if and only if $n = 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field, G a finite group, and let $\hat{H}^*(G)$ denote the graded Tate cohomology algebra of G over k . The starting point of this paper is the following theorem of D. Benson, H. Krause and S. Schwede:

Theorem 1.1. [2] *There exists a canonical element in Hochschild cohomology of $\hat{H}^*(G)$*

$$\gamma_G \in HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(G),$$

such that for any graded $\hat{H}^(G)$ -module X , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The image of γ_G in $\text{Ext}_{\hat{H}^*(G)}^{3,-1}(X, X)$ is zero.*
- (ii) *There exists a kG -module M such that X is a direct summand of the graded $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module $\hat{H}^*(G, M)$.*

Let us call an $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module *realizable* if it is isomorphic to a module of the form $\hat{H}^*(G, M)$ for some kG -module M . As an immediate consequence we get the following:

Corollary 1.2. *If $\gamma_G = 0$, then every $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module is a direct summand of a realizable module.*

At this point it is natural to ask for the converse of that statement. That is, given the fact that $\gamma_G \neq 0$, is there some $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module detecting the non-triviality of γ_G ? Theorem 1.1 works more generally in the situation of differential graded algebras, and in that setup the converse of the corresponding corollary is known to be false: Benson, Krause and Schwede provide an example of a dg algebra A such that the canonical class $\gamma_A \in HH^{3,-1}(H^*A)$ is non-trivial, but every H^*A -module is realizable (see [2], Proposition 5.16). Nevertheless, the author believes that the question whether there is such an example coming from Tate cohomology of groups is still open.

In this paper we will compute γ_G explicitly for the generalized quaternion groups G . In what follows, let $t \geq 2$ be a power of 2, and let $G = Q_{4t}$ be the group of generalized quaternions

$$Q_{4t} = \langle g, h \mid g^t = h^2, ghg = h \rangle.$$

$$\hat{H}^*(Q_{4t}) = \widehat{\text{Ext}}_L^*(k, k) \cong \begin{cases} k[x, y, s^{\pm 1}]/(x^2 + y^2 = xy, y^3 = 0) & \text{if } t = 2, \\ k[x, y, s^{\pm 1}]/(x^2 = xy, y^3 = 0) & \text{if } t \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.3. *The element $\gamma_{Q_8} \in HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(Q_8)$ is non-trivial, and the cokernel of the map*

$$\hat{H}^*(Q_8)[-1] \oplus \hat{H}^*(Q_8)[-1] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & x+y \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}} \hat{H}^*(Q_8) \oplus \hat{H}^*(Q_8)$$

Acknowledgments. Part of this paper is part of a Diploma thesis written at the Mathematical Institute, University of Bonn. I would like to thank my advisor Stefan Schwede for suggesting the subject and all the helpful comments on the project. I would also like to thank the referee of an earlier version of this paper for some helpful remarks.

Let R be a ring with unit, and let M be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded R -module. The degree of every (homogeneous) element $m \in M$ will be denoted by $|m|$. For every integer n the module $M[n]$ is defined by $M[n]^j = M^{n+j}$ for all j . Given two such modules M and L , a morphism $f : L \longrightarrow M$ is a family $f^j : L^j \longrightarrow M^j$ of R -module homomorphisms. The group of all these morphisms is denoted by $\text{Hom}_R(L, M)$. Furthermore, we have $\text{Hom}_R^m(L, M) = \text{Hom}_R(L, M[m])$, the morphisms of degree m . The graded module $L \otimes M$ is given by $(L \otimes M)^m = \bigoplus_{i+j=m} L^i \otimes M^j$. If M is a differential graded R -module with differential d , then the differential of $M[n]$ is given by $(-1)^n d$.

$$\cdots \longleftarrow P_{-2} \longleftarrow P_{-1} \longleftarrow P_0 \longleftarrow P_1 \longleftarrow P_2 \longleftarrow \cdots$$
$$(1.4) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}_L^n(N, M) = H^n(\mathrm{Hom}_L(P_*, M)) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
$$(1.5) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}_L^m(Y, Z) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}_L^n(X, Y) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}_L^{m+n}(X, Z),$$

see e.g. [3], § 6. Therefore, $\hat{H}^*(G) = \hat{H}^*(G, k) = \widehat{\text{Ext}}_{kG}^*(k, k)$ is a graded algebra, and $\hat{H}^*(G, M) = \widehat{\text{Ext}}_{kG}^*(k, M)$ is a graded $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module for every kG -module M . We call a graded $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module X *realizable* if it is isomorphic to $\hat{H}^*(G, M)$ for some kG -module M .

There is another way of describing the product of $\hat{H}^*(G)$, in terms of P_* . Consider the differential graded algebra $\mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_L^*(P_*, P_*)$, which (in degree n) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^n = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_L(P_{j+n}, P_j),$$

and the differential $d : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{n+1}$ is defined to be

$$(df)_j = \partial \circ f_{j+1} - (-1)^n f_j \circ \partial.$$

Here ∂ denotes the differential of P_* . $\mathcal{A}$ is called the endomorphism dga of P . With this definition, the cocycles of $\mathcal{A}$ (of degree n) are exactly the chain transformations $P[n] \rightarrow P$, and two cocycles differ by a coboundary if and only if they are chain homotopic. Using standard arguments from homological algebra, one shows that the following map is an isomorphism of k -vector spaces:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} H^n \mathcal{A} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\text{Ext}}_L^n(k, k) \\ [f] &\mapsto [\epsilon \circ f_0] \end{aligned}$$

Here $\epsilon : P_0 \rightarrow k$ is the augmentation. This isomorphism is compatible with the multiplicative structures. We will often write $\bar{a}$ for elements of the endomorphism dga; if $\bar{a}$ is a cocycle, then a denotes the corresponding cohomology class.

1.3. Hochschild Cohomology. We now give a short review of Hochschild cohomology. Let Λ be a graded algebra over the field k , and let M be a graded Λ - Λ -bimodule, the elements of k acting symmetrically. Define a cochain complex $C^{\bullet,*}(\Lambda, M)$ by

$$C^{m,m}(\Lambda, M) = \text{Hom}_k^m(\Lambda^{\otimes n}, M),$$

with a differential δ of bidegree $(1, 0)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\varphi)(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) &= (-1)^{m|\lambda_1|} \lambda_1 \varphi(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} \varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \lambda_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hochschild cohomology groups $HH^{*,*}(\Lambda, M)$ are defined as the cohomology groups of that complex:

$$HH^{s,t}(\Lambda, M) = H^s(C^{*,t}(\Lambda, M)).$$

In particular, we can regard $M = \Lambda$ as a bimodule over itself; then one writes $HH^{s,t}(\Lambda) = HH^{s,t}(\Lambda, \Lambda)$. For example, an element of $HH^{3,-1}(\Lambda)$ is represented by a family of k -linear maps

$$m = \{m_{i,j,l} : \Lambda^i \otimes \Lambda^j \otimes \Lambda^l \rightarrow \Lambda^{i+j+l-1}\}_{i,j,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

satisfying the cocycle relation

$$(-1)^{|a|} a \cdot m(b, c, d) - m(ab, c, d) + m(a, bc, d) - m(a, b, cd) + m(a, b, c) \cdot d = 0$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \Lambda$.

Whenever X and Y are Λ - Λ -bimodules, one has a cup product pairing

$$\cup : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, Y) \otimes HH^{*,*}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{*,*}(X, Y).$$

Here $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^{s,t}(X, Y)$ is defined to be $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^s(X, Y[t])$. In particular, we have the map

$$\begin{aligned} HH^{3,-1} \hat{H}^*(G) &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\hat{H}^*(G)}^{3,-1}(X, X) \\ \phi &\mapsto \text{id}_X \cup \phi \end{aligned}$$

for every $\hat{H}^*(G)$ -module X . This is the map occurring in the statement of Theorem 1.1.

1.4. The canonical element γ . We are now going to describe the construction of the element γ mentioned in Theorem 1.1. More generally, we will construct an element $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \in HH^{3,-1}H^*\mathcal{A}$ for every differential graded algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over k ; then we can take $\mathcal{A}$ to be the endomorphism algebra of a complete projective resolution of k as a trivial kG -module to get $\gamma_G \in HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(G)$. For a dg-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ consider $H^*\mathcal{A}$ as a differential graded k -module with trivial differential. Then choose a morphism of dg- k -modules $f_1 : H^*\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of degree 0 which induces the identity in cohomology. This is the same as choosing a representative in $\mathcal{A}$ for every class in $H^*\mathcal{A}$ in a k -linear way. For every two elements $x, y \in H^*\mathcal{A}$, $f_1(xy) - f_1(x)f_1(y)$ is null-homotopic; therefore, we can choose a morphism of graded modules

$$f_2 : H^*\mathcal{A} \otimes H^*\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

of degree -1 such that for all $x, y \in H^*\mathcal{A}$ we have

$$df_2(x, y) = f_1(xy) - f_1(x)f_1(y).$$

Then for all $a, b, c \in H^*\mathcal{A}$,

$$(1.7) \quad f_2(a, b)f_1(c) - f_2(a, bc) + f_2(ab, c) - (-1)^{|a|}f_1(a)f_2(b, c)$$

is a cocycle in $\mathcal{A}$, the cohomology class of which will be denoted by $m(a, b, c)$. This defines a map $m : (H^*\mathcal{A})^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H^*\mathcal{A}$ of degree -1 . An explicit computation shows that m is a Hochschild cocycle, thereby representing a class $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \in HH^{3,-1}H^*\mathcal{A}$. This class is independent of the choices made.

2. COMPUTATION OF THE CANONICAL ELEMENT

From now on, let k be a field of characteristic 2. Let $t \geq 2$ be a power of 2, and let $G = Q_{4t}$ be the group of generalized quaternions

$$Q_{4t} = \langle g, h \mid g^t = h^2, ghg = h \rangle.$$

We denote by kG the group algebra of G over k , and $F = kG$ denotes the free module of rank 1 over that algebra. In this section, we are going to explicitly compute a Hochschild cochain m representing the canonical class γ_G .

2.1. The class of a map. We begin with an observation that will reduce the subsequent computations somewhat. Let us recall the construction of a representative of γ_G . First of all, we have to construct a projective resolution P , and we will actually find a minimal projective resolution. Then we have to choose a cycle selection-homomorphism $f_1 : \hat{H}^*(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{kG}^*(P, P)$ such that any class a is mapped to a representative $f_1(a)$. We can find a k -linear map $f_2 : \hat{H}^*(G) \otimes \hat{H}^*(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{kG}^*(P, P)$ of degree -1 satisfying $df_2(a, b) = f_1(a)f_1(b) - f_1(ab)$ for all a, b . Finally, we are interested in terms of the form

$$(2.1) \quad f_2(a, b)f_1(c) + f_2(a, bc) + f_2(ab, c) + f_1(a)f_2(b, c);$$

this is a cocycle in $\text{Hom}_{kG}^*(P, P)$. In order to determine the class of this cocycle, it is enough to know the degree 0 map of it (cf. (1.6)). This observation leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.2. For every $f \in \text{Hom}_{kG}^n(P, P)$, i.e., a family of maps $f_j : P_{j+n} \rightarrow P_j$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$), not necessarily commuting with the differential, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(f)$ the class of the map $\epsilon \circ f_0 : P_n \rightarrow k$ in $H^n \text{Hom}_{kG}(P_*, k) = \hat{H}^n(G)$.

Note that the complex $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_*, k)$ has trivial differential; thus, every element in $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_*, k)$ and in particular $\epsilon \circ f_0$ is a cocycle. The definition above gives a map

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} : \text{Hom}_{kG}^n(P, P) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}^n(G) \\ f & \longmapsto & [\epsilon \circ f_0] \end{array}}$$

Proposition 2.3. The map $\mathcal{C}$ has the following properties:

- (i) If $f \in \text{Hom}_{kG}^n(P, P)$ is a cocycle, then $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is the cohomology class of f ; in particular $\mathcal{C} \circ f_1 = \text{id}$.
- (ii) The map $\mathcal{C}$ is k -linear.
- (iii) If $\mathcal{C}(f_1) = \mathcal{C}(f_2)$ for some $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}_{kG}^n(P, P)$, then $\mathcal{C}(f_1g) = \mathcal{C}(f_2g)$ for all $g \in \text{Hom}_{kG}^m(P, P)$.
- (iv) If $a \in \text{Hom}_{kG}^m(P, P)$ is a cocycle and $f \in \text{Hom}_{kG}^n(P, P)$ is arbitrary, then $\mathcal{C}(fa) = \mathcal{C}(f)\mathcal{C}(a)$.

Proof. (i) follows from (1.6), (ii) holds by definition.

Ad (iii): If $\mathcal{C}(f_i) = 0$, then $\epsilon \circ f_i = 0$. This implies $\epsilon \circ f_i \circ g = 0$, hence $\mathcal{C}(f_i g) = 0$. For general f_1, f_2 note $\mathcal{C}(f_1 - f_2) = 0$; by what we just proved $\mathcal{C}((f_1 - f_2)g) = 0$ and therefore $\mathcal{C}(f_1g) = \mathcal{C}(f_2g)$.

Ad (iv): Choose a cocycle $h \in \text{Hom}_{kG}^n(P, P)$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}(h) = \mathcal{C}(f)$. Then by (iii)

$$\mathcal{C}(fa) = \mathcal{C}(ha) = \mathcal{C}(h)\mathcal{C}(a) = \mathcal{C}(f)\mathcal{C}(a). \quad \square$$

The following corollary will simplify computations later on.

Proposition 2.4. *The map f_2 can be chosen in such a way that $\mathcal{C} \circ f_2 = 0$.*

Proof. Choose any $\tilde{f}_2$ (satisfying $d\tilde{f}_2(a, b) = f_1(a)f_1(b) - f_1(ab)$). Put $f_2 = \tilde{f}_2 - f_1 \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \tilde{f}_2$. Since $df_1 = 0$, we get

$$df_2(a, b) = d\tilde{f}_2(a, b) = f_1(a)f_1(b) - f_1(ab),$$

and from $\mathcal{C} \circ f_1 = \text{id}$ follows that

$$\mathcal{C} \circ f_2 = \mathcal{C} \circ \tilde{f}_2 - \mathcal{C} \circ f_1 \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \tilde{f}_2 = 0. \quad \square$$

Consider (2.1) with this simplified version of f_2 . By applying $\mathcal{C}$, we get the term

$$\mathcal{C}(f_2(a, b)f_1(c)) + \mathcal{C}(f_2(a, bc)) + \mathcal{C}(f_2(ab, c)) + \mathcal{C}(f_1(a)f_2(b, c))$$

This is the cohomology class of (2.1). Note that the individual terms $f_2(a, b)f_1(c)$, $f_2(a, bc) \dots$ will not be cocycles in general, but the map $\mathcal{C}$ assigns cohomology classes to them in such a way that the sum will be the class we are looking for.

By our choice of f_2 (such that $\mathcal{C} \circ f_2 = 0$), the first three terms in the sum vanish (note that $\mathcal{C}(f_2(a, b)f_1(c)) = \mathcal{C}(f_2(a, b))c$ by Proposition 2.3.(iv)). Thus we are interested in terms of the form $\mathcal{C}(f_1(a)f_2(b, c))$, where a, b, c run through all elements of a k -basis of $\hat{H}^*(G)$.

2.2. Generating cocycles and homotopies. Now we start the actual computation of γ . We begin with the construction of a minimal projective resolution P and some cocycles in the endomorphism dga of P . Let us define some elements of the group algebra kG as follows. Put $a = g + 1$, $b = h + 1$ and $c = hg + 1$. Furthermore, we write $N = \sum_{j \in G} j$ for the norm element. Here are some formulae we will frequently use:

$$\begin{aligned} a^t &= b^2 = c^2 & a^{2t} &= b^4 = 0 \\ ba &= ac = a + b + c & N &= a^{2t-1}b \\ c &= a + bg & gc &= a + b \\ N &= ca^{2t-2}b = ca^{2t-1} & N &= a^{2t-1} + a^{2t-2}b + ca^{2t-2} \\ ca^{t-1}b &= ca^{t-1} + a^{t-1}b \end{aligned}$$

Also note that a^{2t-1}, a^{2t-2} and a^{2t-4} lie in the center of kQ_{4t} . Now a 4-periodic complete projective resolution of the trivial kG -module k is given as follows (see [4], Chapter XII §7):

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{N} P_0 = F \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} P_1 = F^2 \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} P_2 = F^2 \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} P_3 = F \xleftarrow{N} P_4 = F \xleftarrow{\cdots}$$

Since the resolution is minimal, the differential of the complex $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_*, k)$ vanishes; therefore, we immediately get the well-known additive structure of $\hat{H}^*(G)$:

$$\hat{H}^{4n}(G) \cong \hat{H}^{4n+3}(G) \cong k, \quad \hat{H}^{4n+1}(G) \cong \hat{H}^{4n+2}(G) \cong k^2.$$

Let us write $\bar{s} : P \rightarrow P[4]$ for the shift map, given by the identity map in every degree. This is an invertible cocycle; thus, multiplication by a suitable power of s yields an isomorphism $\hat{H}^{4n+u}(G) \cong \hat{H}^u(G)$ for $u = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now we are heading for explicit generators x, y of $\hat{H}^1(G) \cong H^1 \text{Hom}_{kG}^*(P, P)$, which are represented by chain maps $\bar{x}, \bar{y} : P[1] \rightarrow P$. By construction we have $P_1 = F^2$ and $P_0 = F$. We extend the two projections $P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ to chain transformations $P[1] \rightarrow P$ as follows: For $\bar{x} : P \rightarrow P[1]$ we take

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow a^{2t-2}b & & \downarrow (1 \ 0) & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{t-2} & 1 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow a^{2t-2}b & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

and extend this 4-periodically. The 4-periodic chain map $\bar{y} : P \rightarrow P[1]$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow a^{2t-1} & & \downarrow (0 \ 1) & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow a^{2t-1} & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Since these cocycles are 4-periodic, they commute with $\bar{s}$. Let us determine the pairwise products of these maps. We start with $\bar{x}\bar{y}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{2t-1} \\ a^{2t-1} \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow (0 \ a^{2t-2}b) & & \downarrow (0 \ 1) & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ g \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{2t-1} \\ a^{2t-1} \end{pmatrix} & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

The product $\bar{y}\bar{x}$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a^{2t-2}b \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow (a^{2t-1} \ 0) & & \downarrow (0 \ g) & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a^{2t-2}b \end{pmatrix} & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Next, we compute $\bar{x}^2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{2t-2}b \\ a^{2t-2}b \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow (a^{2t-2}b \ 0) & & \downarrow (a^{t-2} \ 1) & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{t-2}+1 \\ g \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{2t-2}b \\ a^{2t-2}b \end{pmatrix} & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

And now $\bar{y}^2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a^{2t-1} \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^{2t-1} \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a^{2t-1} \end{pmatrix} & \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

In each of these cocycles, the map $P_2 \rightarrow P_0$ determines the cohomology class by the isomorphism (1.6); in k^2 , they correspond to (01) , (01) , $(\epsilon(a^{t-2})1)$ and (10) , respectively. Hence $\hat{H}^2(G)$ is generated by x^2 and y^2 , and we have $xy = yx$. Furthermore, we also see from this description that

$$xy = \begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 & \text{if } t = 2, \\ x^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

But we will need explicit chain homotopies for all these relations later on, so let us start with the commutator relation $xy = yx$. Let $\bar{p}$ be the 4-periodic null-homotopy for $\bar{x}\bar{y} + \bar{y}\bar{x}$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\
 & & \searrow a^{2t-2} & & \searrow 0 & & \searrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \searrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & & & \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Now let us compute $\bar{y}^3$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{2t-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{2t-1} \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{2t-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow 0 & \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Then we find a null-homotopy for that map in two steps: First consider the 4-periodic extension of the map

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \\
 & & \searrow 0 & & \searrow \begin{pmatrix} bh^{-1} \\ a^{t-1}h^{-1} \end{pmatrix} & & \searrow \begin{pmatrix} cg^{-1} \\ a^{t-1} \end{pmatrix}^T h^{-1} & & \searrow 0 & & & \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{(a \ b)} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

and call it $\bar{w}'$. Note that this will not quite be a homotopy for $\bar{y}^3$, because it yields the wrong result in degrees $P_{4n+2} \rightarrow P_{4n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. But if we put

$$P_{8n+j+3} \rightarrow P_{8n+j} : \bar{w}_{8n+j} = \begin{cases} \bar{w}'_{8n+j} & \text{if } j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \\ (\bar{w}' + \bar{y}^2)_{8n+j} & \text{if } j = 4, 5, 6, 7, \end{cases}$$

then we get an 8-periodic null-homotopy for $\bar{y}^3$ which will be called $\bar{w}$ and satisfies $\bar{s}\bar{w} + \bar{w}\bar{s} = \bar{y}^2$.

2.3. Computation for the quaternion group. Due to the different multiplicative relation in $\hat{H}^*(G)$ we need to consider the cases $t = 2$ and $t \geq 4$ separately. We start with $t = 2$. In this case, the map

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F \xleftarrow{N} F \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}} F^2 \longleftarrow \cdots \\
 & & \searrow 0 & & \searrow 0 & & \searrow 0 & & \searrow a^3 + a^2 + ab \\
 \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F \longleftarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

can be extended (as we did with $\bar{w}$ above) to an 8-periodic null-homotopy $\bar{r}$ for $\bar{x}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2$ satisfying $\bar{s}\bar{r} + \bar{r}\bar{s} = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$. Notice that $\bar{x}\bar{y}^2 : P_3 \rightarrow P_0$ is the identity map, which implies that $\bar{x}\bar{y}^2 \neq 0 \in \hat{H}^3(G)$. Gathering the results we obtained so far, we recover the known fact that

$$\hat{H}^*(G) \cong k[x, y, s^{\pm 1}] / (x^2 + y^2 = xy, y^3 = 0).$$

Let us remark here that all monomials in x and y of degree bigger than 3 vanish in this ring.

Proposition 2.5. *Let α, β, γ be monomials in the (non-commutative) variables $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$, and assume that the degree $|\beta| \geq 3$. Then we have the following formulae:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}(\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0 & \mathcal{C}(\bar{r}\alpha) &= 0 & \mathcal{C}(\bar{w}\alpha) &= 0 \\
 \mathcal{C}(\bar{x}\bar{p}\alpha) &= xy\mathcal{C}(\alpha) & \mathcal{C}(\gamma\bar{r}\alpha) &= 0 & \mathcal{C}(\gamma\bar{w}\alpha) &= 0 \\
 \mathcal{C}(\bar{y}\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0 \\
 \mathcal{C}(\bar{x}^2\bar{p}\alpha) &= x^2y\mathcal{C}(\alpha) \\
 \mathcal{C}(\bar{y}^2\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0 \\
 \mathcal{C}(\beta\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.3.(iii) we can assume that the degree of β is at most 3. Furthermore, we can assume $\alpha = 1$ by Proposition 2.3.(iv). In order to determine $\mathcal{C}(\bar{a}\bar{w})$ for any given cocycle $\bar{a}$ of degree n , we consider the composition

$$P_{n+2} \xrightarrow{\bar{w}_n} P_n \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_0} P_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k$$

as an element of $H^{n+2} \text{Hom}_{kG}(P_*, k)$. Notice $\text{im}(\bar{w}_n) \subset \ker(\epsilon) \cdot P_n$. Therefore, $\text{im}(\bar{a}_0 \circ \bar{w}_n) \subset \ker(\epsilon) \cdot P_0 = \ker(\epsilon)$, hence $\epsilon \circ \bar{a}_0 \circ \bar{w}_n = 0$. The same proof works for $\bar{r}$ instead of $\bar{w}$, so we are left with $\bar{p}$. For $\mathcal{C}(\bar{x}\bar{p})$ consider $\bar{x}\bar{p}$ in degree 0, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_1} & P_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{x}_0} P_0 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

that is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : P_2 \longrightarrow P_0$, which corresponds to xy . The remaining cases can be shown analogously. $\square$

Remark 2.6. Using $\mathcal{C}$, we can prove that there is no 4-periodic null-homotopy for $\bar{x}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2$ as follows: Suppose there is a 4-periodic null-homotopy; call it $\hat{r}$. Since $d(\hat{r} - \bar{r}) = 0$, $\bar{q} = \hat{r} - \bar{r}$ is a cocycle, representing some class q . By construction, $\bar{s}\bar{r} = (\bar{r} + \bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{s}$. Since $\hat{r}$ is 4-periodic, we have $\mathcal{C}(\bar{s}\bar{q}) = \mathcal{C}(\bar{q}\bar{s}) - \mathcal{C}((\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{s}) = qs - (x + y)s$ by Proposition 2.3. On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}(\bar{s}\bar{q}) = sq$, hence $(x + y)s = 0$, a contradiction. In a similar way one shows that there is no 4-periodic null-homotopy for $\bar{x}^3$.

As a next step, we are going to define the functions f_1 and f_2 . A k -basis of $\hat{H}^*(G)$ is given by $\mathfrak{C} = \{s^i, xs^i, ys^i, x^2s^i, y^2s^i, x^2ys^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Define the k -linear map f_1 on the basis $\mathfrak{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1 : \hat{H}^*(G) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{kG}^*(P, P) \\
 x^\epsilon y^\delta s^i &\mapsto \bar{x}^\epsilon \bar{y}^\delta \bar{s}^i
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, \varepsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which the expression on the left hand side lies in $\mathfrak{C}$. Let us define the set $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x, y, x^2, y^2, x^2y\}$. For all $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $f_1(bs^i cs^j) = f_1(bc)\bar{s}^{i+j}$ and $f_1(bs^i)f_1(cs^j) = f_1(b)f_1(c)\bar{s}^{i+j}$, since $\bar{s}$ commutes with both $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$. This implies that we can define f_2 on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ and then extend it to $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$ via $f_2(bs^i, cs^j) = f_2(b, c)\bar{s}^{i+j}$. Now define f_2 on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$f_2(b, c)$		c			
		1	x	y	x^2
b	1	0	0	0	0
	x	0	0	$\bar{r}$	$\bar{x}\bar{r} + \bar{r}\bar{y} + \bar{w}$
	y	0	$\bar{p} + \bar{r}$	0	$\bar{p}\bar{x} + \bar{x}\bar{p} + \bar{x}\bar{y}$
	x^2	0	$\bar{x}\bar{r} + \bar{r}\bar{y} + \bar{w}$	0	$\bar{x}\bar{r}\bar{x} + \bar{r}\bar{y}\bar{x} + \bar{w}\bar{x}$
	y^2	0	$\bar{y}\bar{p} + \bar{y}\bar{r} + \bar{w} + \bar{p}\bar{x} + \bar{x}\bar{p} + \bar{x}\bar{y}$	$\bar{w}$	$\bar{y}^2\bar{r} + \bar{y}^2\bar{p} + \bar{w}\bar{x} + \bar{w}\bar{y}$
	x^2y	0	$\bar{x}^2\bar{p} + \bar{x}\bar{r}\bar{y} + \bar{r}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{w}\bar{y} + \bar{x}^2\bar{y}$	$\bar{r}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w} + \bar{y}\bar{w}$	*

b		y^2	x^2y
		0	0
	1	0	0
	x	$\bar{r}\bar{y} + \bar{w}$	$\bar{x}\bar{r}\bar{y} + \bar{r}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{w}\bar{y}$
	y	$\bar{w}$	$\bar{y}\bar{r}\bar{y} + \bar{p}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w} + \bar{y}\bar{w}$
	x^2	$\bar{r}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w} + \bar{y}\bar{w}$	*
	y^2	$\bar{w}\bar{y}$	*
	x^2y	*	*

Direct verification shows that $df_2(b, c) = f_1(bc) - f_1(b)f_1(c)$ for all b, c for which f_2 is defined. Each * can be replaced by a suitable polynomial expression in $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{p}, \bar{r}, \bar{w}$ such that $df_2(b, c) = f_1(bc) - f_1(b)f_1(c)$ holds for all b, c ; as will turn out, it does not matter which choice we make here. Our f_2 will then already be simplified in the sense of Proposition 2.4, which is why some apparently unnecessary terms occur (e.g., the $\bar{x}\bar{y}$ in $f_2(y, x^2)$). Indeed, $\mathcal{C} \circ f_2 = 0$, as one can check using Proposition 2.5.

As a final step, we need to investigate the term

$$m(a, b, c) = \mathcal{C}(f_1(a)f_2(b, c))$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{C}$. Since $f_2(b, c)$ is 8-periodic, we have $m(as^{2h}, bs^i, cs^j) = m(a, b, c)s^{2h+i+j}$ for all integers h, i, j and $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{C}$. Therefore it is enough to consider all triples $(a, b, c) \in (\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}s) \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$.

Consider the case $a \in \mathcal{B}$. If $a = 1$, then $\mathcal{C}(f_1(a)f_2(b, c)) = \mathcal{C}(f_2(b, c)) = 0$. If $a \in \{y^2, x^2y\}$, then $f_1(a)f_2(b, c)$ is a sum of terms $\beta\bar{p}\alpha$, $\beta\bar{r}\alpha$, $\beta\bar{w}\alpha$ and $\beta\bar{x}\bar{y}\alpha$, where α and β are monomials in $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$, and the degree of β is at least 2 and $\beta \neq \bar{x}^2$. Hence $\mathcal{C}(f_1(a)f_2(b, c)) = 0$ by Proposition 2.5. Next, consider $a = x$. By Proposition 2.5 we get $\mathcal{C}(\bar{x}f_2(b, c))$ from $f_2(b, c)$ by the following rule: Put an $\bar{x}$ in front of all monomials in $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$. Then remove all summands containing $\bar{p}$, $\bar{r}$ or $\bar{w}$, except those beginning with $\bar{p}$, $\bar{x}\bar{p}$ or $\bar{y}\bar{p}$, where we replace the $\bar{p}$ by xy , and $\bar{x}\bar{p}$ and $\bar{y}\bar{p}$ by x^2y . Finally, replace all $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ by x and y , respectively. Using this procedure, we get the following table for $\mathcal{C}(\bar{x}f_2(b, c))$:

$\mathcal{C}(\bar{x}f_2(b, c))$		c					
		1	x	y	x^2	y^2	x^2y
b	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	x	0	0	0	0	0	0
	y	0	xy	0	$xyx + x^2y + x^2y$	0	*
	x^2	0	0	0	*	*	*
	y^2	0	$x^2y + xyx + x^2y + x^2y$	0	*	*	*
	x^2y	0	*	*	*	*	*

Here each $*$ stands for some homogeneous polynomial in x, y of degree at least 4. Almost all these expressions vanish, the only remaining terms are

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, y, x) &= xy, \\ m(x, y, x^2) &= x^2y. \end{aligned}$$

For the case $a = y$ we use a similar method resulting from Proposition 2.5, and we end up with $m(y, b, c) = 0$ for all $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$. Finally, for $a = x^2$ we find that the only non-zero term is $m(x^2, y, x) = x^2y$.

The case $a \in \mathcal{B}s$ is slightly more difficult. Consider the map

$$h(b, c) = \bar{s}f_2(b, c)\bar{s}^{-1} - f_2(b, c),$$

measuring how far away f_2 is from 4-periodicity. From the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}\bar{p}\bar{s}^{-1} &= \bar{p} \\ \bar{s}\bar{r}\bar{s}^{-1} &= \bar{r} + \bar{x} + \bar{y} \\ \bar{s}\bar{w}\bar{s}^{-1} &= \bar{w} + \bar{y}^2 \end{aligned}$$

we get the following table for h :

$h(b, c)$		c		
		1	x	y
b	1	0	0	0
	x	0	0	$\bar{x} + \bar{y}$
	y	0	$\bar{x} + \bar{y}$	0
	x^2	0	$\bar{x}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + (\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2$	0
	y^2	0	$\bar{y}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + \bar{y}^2$	$\bar{y}^2$
	x^2y	0	$\bar{x}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y} + (\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y}^2 + \bar{y}^2\bar{y}$	$(\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{y}\bar{y}^2$

		x^2	y^2	x^2y
b	1	0	0	0
	x	$\bar{x}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + (\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2$	$(\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2$	$\bar{x}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y} + (\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y}^2 + \bar{y}^2\bar{y}$
	y	0	$\bar{y}^2$	$\bar{y}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y} + \bar{x}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{y}\bar{y}^2$
	x^2	$\bar{x}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{x} + (\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y}\bar{x} + \bar{y}^2\bar{x}$	$(\bar{x} + \bar{y})\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{y}\bar{y}^2$	*
	y^2	$\bar{y}^2(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + \bar{y}^2\bar{x} + \bar{y}^2\bar{y}$	$\bar{y}^2\bar{y}$	*
	x^2y	*	*	*

where $*$ denotes certain homogeneous polynomials in $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ of degree at least 4. Applying $\mathcal{C}$ to this table and using relations in $\hat{H}^*(G)$, we get

$\mathcal{C}(h(b, c))$		c					
		1	x	y	x^2	y^2	x^2y
b	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	x	0	0	$x + y$	x^2	$x^2 + y^2$	x^2y
	y	0	$x + y$	0	0	y^2	0
	x^2	0	x^2	0	0	0	0
	y^2	0	$x^2 + y^2$	y^2	0	0	0
	x^2y	0	x^2y	0	0	0	0

By definition of h we have $h(b, c)s = \bar{s}f_2(b, c) - f_2(b, c)\bar{s}$, hence

$$\mathcal{C}(h(b, c))s = \mathcal{C}(\bar{s}f_2(b, c)) - \underbrace{\mathcal{C}(f_2(b, c))}_0 s = m(s, b, c).$$

Therefore, this table shows the values $m(s, b, c)$ with $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$. On the other hand, we know that m is a Hochschild-cocycle, in particular for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}$

$$am(s, b, c) + m(as, b, c) + m(a, sb, c) + m(a, s, bc) + m(a, s, b)c = 0.$$

Using $m(a, s, b)c = m(a, 1, b)sc = 0$, $m(a, s, bc) = m(a, 1, bc)s = 0$ and $m(a, sb, c) = m(a, b, c)s$, we get

$$(2.7) \quad m(as, b, c) = am(s, b, c) + m(a, b, c)s$$

We know the right hand side for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}$. Gathering all results, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *The canonical element γ_G is represented by the Hochschild cocycle m which is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, y, x) &= xy, \\ m(x, y, x^2) &= x^2y, \\ m(x^2, y, x) &= x^2y, \\ m(a, b, c) &= 0 && \text{for all other } a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}, \\ m(sa, b, c) &= sm(a, b, c) + a\mathcal{C}(h(b, c)) && \text{where } \mathcal{C}(h(b, c)) \text{ is given by the table above,} \\ m(s^{2i}a, s^jb, s^lc) &= s^{2i+j+l}m(a, b, c). \end{aligned}$$

The element $\gamma \in HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(G)$ represented by m is non-trivial.

Proof. It remains to prove the non-triviality of γ . Assume $m = \delta g$ for some Hochschild $(2, -1)$ -cochain g . Then,

$$m(a, b, c) = (\delta g)(a, b, c) = ag(b, c) + g(ab, c) + g(a, bc) + g(a, b)c$$

for all a, b, c . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= m(y, x, y) = yg(x, y) + g(yx, y) + g(y, xy) + g(y, x)y \\ 0 &= m(x, y, y) = xg(y, y) + g(xy, y) + g(x, y^2) + g(x, y)y \\ 0 &= m(y, y, x) = yg(y, x) + g(y^2, x) + g(y, yx) + g(y, y)x \\ 0 &= m(x, x, x) = xg(x, x) + g(x^2, x) + g(x, x^2) + g(x, x)x \\ xy &= m(x, y, x) = xg(y, x) + g(xy, x) + g(x, yx) + g(x, y)x \end{aligned}$$

Adding up these equations we get (using $x^2 + y^2 = xy$)

$$xy = x \cdot (g(x, y) + g(y, x)).$$

This implies $g(x, y) + g(y, x) = y$. On the other hand, interchanging the roles of x and y we get $g(x, y) + g(y, x) = x$, a contradiction. $\square$

2.4. Computation for the generalized quaternion group. From now on, we assume that $t \geq 4$. Then there is an 8-periodic null-homotopy $\bar{v}$ for $\bar{x}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{y}$, partially given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longleftarrow & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \searrow 0 & & \searrow \begin{pmatrix} a^{t-3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \searrow 0 & & \searrow u & & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & F & \xleftarrow{N} & F & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^{t-1} & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}} & F^2 & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}} & F & \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

satisfying $\bar{s}\bar{v} + \bar{v}\bar{s} = \bar{x}$. Here we write $u = ca^{2t-2} + ba^{2t-3}$ and need to prove

$$\begin{aligned} au &= a^{2t-2}b + a^{2t-1}, & cu &= a^{2t-2}b + a^{2t-1}, \\ ua &= a^{2t-2}b + N, & ub &= a^{2t-2}b. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, to prove the first formula, note that

$$au + aca^{2t-2} = aba^{2t-3} = a^{2t-3}ba = a^{2t-3}ac = ca^{2t-2} = (a + b + ac)a^{2t-2}.$$

The other formulae can be proved similarly.

Again one verifies that $x^2y \neq 0$, so that we recover the well-known structure of $\hat{H}^*(G)$ to be

$$\hat{H}^*(G) \cong k[x, y, s^{\pm 1}]/(y^3, x^2 + xy).$$

Using the variable $z = x + y$, we obtain the isomorphism

$$\hat{H}^*(G) \cong k[x, z, s^{\pm 1}]/(xz, x^3 + z^3).$$

In the following, we will frequently switch between these two descriptions.

Proposition 2.9. *We have the following formulae.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0 & \mathcal{C}(\bar{v}\alpha) &= 0 & \mathcal{C}(\bar{w}\alpha) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{C}(\bar{x}\bar{p}\alpha) &= x^2\mathcal{C}(\alpha) & \mathcal{C}(\gamma\bar{v}\alpha) &= 0 & \mathcal{C}(\gamma\bar{w}\alpha) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{C}(\bar{y}\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{C}(\bar{x}^2\bar{p}\alpha) &= x^2y\mathcal{C}(\alpha) \\ \mathcal{C}(\bar{y}^2\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{C}(\beta\bar{p}\alpha) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any α, β, γ monomials in $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ with $|\beta| \geq 3$.

We omit the straightforward proof and turn to the definition of the maps f_1 and f_2 . As before let $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x, y, x^2, y^2, x^2y\}$; we define f_1 as

$$f_1(s^i x^a y^b) = \bar{s}^i \bar{x}^a \bar{y}^b$$

for all $a, b, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $x^a y^b$ lies in $\mathcal{B}$. Now we define f_2 on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$f_2(b, c)$		c			
		1	x	y	x^2
b	1	0	0	0	0
	x	0	0	$\bar{v}$	$\bar{x}\bar{v}$
	y	0	$\bar{p} + \bar{v}$	0	$\bar{p}\bar{x} + \bar{x}\bar{p} + \bar{x}^2$
	x^2	0	$\bar{x}\bar{v}$	0	$\bar{x}^2\bar{v} + \bar{x}\bar{v}\bar{y} + \bar{v}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w}$
	y^2	0	$\bar{y}\bar{p} + \bar{p}\bar{y} + \bar{v}\bar{y}$	$\bar{w}$	$\bar{y}^2\bar{v} + \bar{y}^2\bar{p} + \bar{w}\bar{x}$
	x^2y	0	$\bar{x}^2\bar{p} + \bar{x}\bar{v}\bar{y} + \bar{v}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w} + \bar{x}^2\bar{y}$	$\bar{v}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w}$	$\bar{x}^2\bar{p}\bar{x} + \bar{x}\bar{v}\bar{y}\bar{x} + \bar{v}\bar{y}^2\bar{x} + \bar{x}\bar{w}\bar{x}$

		y^2	x^2y
		0	0
b	1	0	0
	x	$\bar{v}\bar{y}$	$\bar{x}\bar{v}\bar{y} + \bar{v}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w}$
	y	$\bar{w}$	$\bar{y}\bar{v}\bar{y} + \bar{p}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w}$
	x^2	$\bar{v}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{w}$	$\bar{x}^2\bar{v}\bar{y} + \bar{x}\bar{v}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}^2\bar{w}$
	y^2	$\bar{w}\bar{y}$	$\bar{y}^2\bar{v}\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2\bar{p}\bar{y} + \bar{w}\bar{x}\bar{y}$
	x^2y	$\bar{x}^2\bar{w}$	$\bar{x}^2\bar{y}\bar{v}\bar{y} + \bar{x}^2\bar{p}\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}^3\bar{w}$

Also put $f_2(s^i a, s^j b) = f_2(a, b)\bar{s}^{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. This function is chosen in such a way that $\mathcal{C}(f_2(a, b)) = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. One verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, y, x) &= x^2, \\ m(x^2, y, x) &= x^2y, \\ m(x, y, x^2) &= x^2y \end{aligned}$$

and m vanishes on all other triples $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{B}^{\times 3}$. Let us define m' as follows:

$$(2.10) \quad m'(s^i a, s^j b, s^k c) = s^{i+j+k} m(a, b, c) \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in \mathcal{B},$$

and define $h(a, b) = \bar{s}f_2(a, b)\bar{s}^{-1} - f_2(a, b)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(h(b, c))$ is given by the following table:

$\mathcal{C}(h(b, c))$		c					
		1	x	y	x^2	y^2	x^2y
b	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	x	0	0	x	x^2	x^2	x^2y
	y	0	x	0	0	y^2	0
	x^2	0	x^2	0	0	0	0
	y^2	0	x^2	y^2	0	0	0
	x^2y	0	x^2y	0	0	0	0

So we get the following explicit description of m :

Theorem 2.11. *The canonical element γ_G is represented by the Hochschild cocycle m which is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
 m(x, y, x) &= x^2, \\
 m(x^2, y, x) &= x^2y, \\
 m(x, y, x^2) &= x^2y, \\
 m(a, b, c) &= 0 \quad \text{for all other } a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}, \\
 m(sa, b, c) &= sm(a, b, c) + sa\mathcal{C}(h(b, c)) \quad \text{where } \mathcal{C}(h(b, c)) \text{ is given by the table above,} \\
 m(s^{2i}a, s^jb, s^lc) &= s^{2i+j+l}m(a, b, c).
 \end{aligned}$$

The element $\gamma \in HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(G)$ represented by m is non-trivial.

Proof. It remains to prove the non-triviality of γ . Suppose that m is a Hochschild coboundary; then $m = \delta g$ for some $g : \Lambda^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \Lambda[-1]$. Adding up the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^3 &= m(x, z, x^2) = xg(z, x^2) + g(x, z)x^2 \\
 0 &= m(x^2, x, z) = x^2g(x, z) + g(x^3, z) + g(x^2, x)z \\
 0 &= m(z, x^2, x) = zg(x^2, x) + g(z, x^3) + g(z, x^2)x \\
 0 &= m(z, z^2, z) = zg(z^2, z) + g(z^3, z) + g(z, z^3) + g(z, z^2)z \\
 0 &= zm(z, z, z) = z^2g(z, z) + zg(z^2, z) + zg(z, z^2) + zg(z, z)z
 \end{aligned}$$

and simplifying, we get the contradiction $x^3 = 0$. $\square$

3. REALIZABILITY OF MODULES

3.1. Massey products. There is a strong connection between the canonical class γ and triple Massey products over $\hat{H}^*(G)$. This has already been noted in [2], Lemma 5.14, and we will generalize this fact to Massey products of matrices (as introduced by May, [5]). We start with some notation. Let Λ be a graded k -algebra, and suppose that I is a graded set, that is, a set together with a function $|\cdot| : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. For every such set, we define $I[n]$ to be the shifted graded set given by the same set with new grading $|i|_{[n]} = |i| + n$ for all $i \in I$. We denote by Λ^I the shifted free Λ -module

$$\Lambda^I = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda[|i|].$$

Then $\Lambda^I[n] = \Lambda^{I[n]}$. If J is another graded set, we can consider morphisms $f : \Lambda^J \rightarrow \Lambda^I$. Every such map can be represented by a (possibly infinite) matrix $(f_{i,j})_{i \in I, j \in J}$ with $|f_{i,j}| = |i| - |j|$. Such a matrix is column-finite, that is, for every j there are only finitely many non-zero $f_{i,j}$'s. Let us denote by $\Lambda^{I,J}$ the set of such matrices. Every such yields a map $f : \Lambda^J \rightarrow \Lambda^I$.

A triple of matrices (A, B, C) will be called *composable* if there are graded sets I, J, K, L with $A \in \Lambda^{I,J}, B \in \Lambda^{J,K}, C \in \Lambda^{K,L}$. Every morphism $m : \Lambda^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \Lambda[-1]$ can be extended to the module of all composable triples by putting

$$m(A, B, C) \in \Lambda^{I[-1],L} : \quad m(A, B, C)_{i[-1],l} = \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K} m(a_{ij}, b_{jk}, c_{kl}).$$

From now on we assume $\Lambda = H^*\mathcal{A} \cong \hat{H}^*(G)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the endomorphism-dgA of some projective resolution of the trivial kG -module k . Also let $m : \Lambda^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \Lambda[-1]$ be some Hochschild cocycle representing the canonical element $\gamma \in HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(G)$. Recall that (see e.g. [5]) for every composable triple of matrices (A, B, C) with $AB = 0$ and $BC = 0$ the triple matrix Massey product $\langle A, B, C \rangle$ is defined and a coset of $A \cdot \Lambda^{J[-1], L} + \Lambda^{I[-1], K} \cdot C$. Notice that there is no obstruction to generalizing May's definition to infinite matrices.

Proposition 3.1. *For every composable triple (A, B, C) with $AB = 0$ and $BC = 0$ we have that $m(A, B, C) \in \langle A, B, C \rangle$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} m(A, B, C) &= f_1(A)f_2(B, C) + f_2(AB, C) + f_2(A, BC) + f_2(A, B)f_1(C) \\ &= f_1(A)f_2(B, C) + f_2(A, B)f_1(C), \end{aligned}$$

and the last term represents one element of the Massey product. $\square$

A triple (A, B, C) will be called *exact* if it is composable and the sequence

$$\Lambda^I \xleftarrow{A} \Lambda^J \xleftarrow{B} \Lambda^K \xleftarrow{C} \Lambda^L$$

is exact.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $A \in \Lambda^{I, J}$ be any matrix, and define $M = \text{coker } A$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The module M is a direct summand of a realizable module.*
- (ii) *For every composable triple (A, B, C) with $AB = 0$ and $BC = 0$, we have that $0 \in \langle A, B, C \rangle$.*
- (iii) *For some exact triple (A, B, C) we have $0 \in \langle A, B, C \rangle$.*

Proof. For (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), let M be a direct summand of H^*N , where N is some dg- $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Then there are maps $M \xrightarrow{i} H^*N \xrightarrow{r} M$ with $ri = \text{id}_M$. Let $\pi : \Lambda^I \rightarrow M$ be the projection map, and put $W = i\pi$. Then $WA = 0$, so that $\langle W, A, B \rangle$ is defined, and the juggling formula (see Corollary 3.2.(iii) of [5]) yields $W\langle A, B, C \rangle = \langle W, A, B \rangle C$ as cosets of $W\Lambda^{I[-1], K}C$. Let $E : \Lambda^K \rightarrow H^*N[-1]$ be some element in $\langle W, A, B \rangle$. Since Λ^K is free, we know that the composition $r \circ E$ lifts as $\Lambda^K \xrightarrow{S} \Lambda^{I[-1]} \xrightarrow{\pi} M[-1]$ for some matrix S . But then

$$\pi SC = rEC \in r\langle W, A, B \rangle C = rW\langle A, B, C \rangle = \pi\langle A, B, C \rangle.$$

This means that there is some matrix T such that $AT + SC \in \langle A, B, C \rangle$, which implies $0 \in \langle A, B, C \rangle$.

The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. For (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), note that

$$M \leftarrow \Lambda^I \xleftarrow{A} \Lambda^J \xleftarrow{B} \Lambda^K \xleftarrow{C} \Lambda^L$$

is the beginning of a (shifted) free resolution of M . We have $m(A, B, C) \in \Lambda^{I[-1], L}$, and a representative of $\gamma \cup \text{id}_M \in \widehat{\text{Ext}}_{\Lambda}^{3,-1}(M, M)$ is given by the composition

$$g : \Lambda^L \xrightarrow{m(A, B, C)} \Lambda^{I[-1]} \rightarrow (\text{coker } A)[-1] = M[-1].$$

By assumption and Proposition 3.1 $m(A, B, C) = AX + YC$ for some matrices X and Y , so that this composition equals

$$\Lambda^L \xrightarrow{C} \Lambda^K \xrightarrow{Y} \Lambda^{I[-1]} \rightarrow M[-1],$$

which in turn says that g is the coboundary of $\Lambda^K \xrightarrow{Y} \Lambda^{I[-1]} \rightarrow M[-1]$; hence $\gamma \cup \text{id}_M = 0$. By Theorem 1.1 of [2], M is a direct summand of some realizable module. $\square$

3.2. The group of quaternions. Let $G = Q_8$. We shall make use of one of the implications of Proposition 3.2 to prove the existence of a $\hat{H}^*G$ -module which detects the non-triviality of γ_G :

Theorem 3.3. *The cokernel of the map*

$$\Lambda[-1] \oplus \Lambda[-1] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & x+y \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda$$

*is not a direct summand of a realizable $\hat{H}^*G$ -module.*

Proof. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} y & x+y \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}$; then $A^2 = 0$ and therefore the Massey product $\langle A, A, A \rangle$ is defined. We claim that it does not contain 0. An explicit calculation using the description of m given in Theorem 2.8 yields

$$m(A, A, A) = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & 0 \\ x^2 & x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us denote the latter matrix by B , then by Proposition 3.2 we need to prove that B is not of the form $B = AQ + RA$ for some 2×2 -matrices Q and R . To do so, define $D = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ x+y & x \end{pmatrix}$; then $AD = DA = 0$. If we denote by tr the trace of a matrix, then we have

$$\text{tr}(BD) = \text{tr}(AQD) + \text{tr}(RAD) = \text{tr}(QDA) + \text{tr}(RAD) = 0$$

(note that these computations take place in a commutative ring). But

$$\text{tr}(BD) = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & x^2y \end{pmatrix} = x^2y \neq 0,$$

a contradiction. □

Remark 3.4. The triple (A, A, A) is actually exact, but we do not need this.

In order to construct a module which is not a direct summand of a realizable one, it is often enough to consider 'ordinary' Massey products, i.e., the case of 1×1 -matrices; this is true for example in the cases $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ([2], Example 7.7) and $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ (characteristic 3, [2], Example 7.6). In our present case, it is not that easy:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $k = \mathbb{F}_2$ be the field with 2 elements. For all $a, b, c \in \hat{H}^*(Q_8)$ satisfying $ab = 0$ and $bc = 0$ we have $0 \in \langle a, b, c \rangle$.*

Proof. By [2], Lemma 5.14, the class $m(a, b, c)$ is contained in the Massey product $\langle a, b, c \rangle$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $m(a, b, c)$ is an element of the indeterminacy

$$a \cdot \hat{H}^{|b|+|c|-1}(G) + \hat{H}^{|a|+|b|-1}(G) \cdot c$$

for all a, b, c . By construction of m it is enough to do so for those triples (a, b, c) and (sa, b, c) with $a, b, c \in \{1, x, y, x+y, x^2, y^2, x^2+y^2, x^2y\}$ which satisfy $ab = 0$ and $bc = 0$.

If $|a|, |b| \leq 1$, then $ab = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ (here we use that $k = \mathbb{F}_2$). If $|b| \geq 2$, then $m(a, b, c) = 0$ unless $b \in \{y^2, y^2 + x^2\}$ and $a, c \in \{x, x+y\}$, in which case $m(a, b, c) = x^2y$ is divisible by a . So we can assume that $|b| = 1$ and therefore $|a| \geq 2$ and $|c| \geq 2$, which implies $m(a, b, c) = 0$ by Theorem 2.8.

For $m(sa, b, c)$ we have by (2.7)

$$m(sa, b, c) = a m(s, b, c) + m(a, b, c)s.$$

We have already seen that the second summand lies in the indeterminacy; the first summand is contained in

$$a \cdot \hat{H}^{|s|+|b|+|c|-1}(G) = sa \cdot \hat{H}^{|b|+|c|-1}(G)$$

and therefore in the indeterminacy. □

Remark 3.6. Note that the Proposition is not true for arbitrary fields of characteristic 2: If the field k contains an element $\alpha \in k$ satisfying $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$, then the Massey product

$$\langle \alpha x + y, \alpha^2 x + y, \alpha x + y \rangle$$

is defined and does not contain 0.

3.3. Generalized quaternions. The picture changes as soon as we consider generalized quaternion groups $G = Q_{4t}$ with $t \geq 4$. It turns out that there is no module detecting the non-triviality of the canonical element γ_G .

Let m be as in Theorem 2.11, and write $m = m' + m''$, where m' is defined in (2.10). Notice that m' is a Hochschild cocycle, because it is defined to be s -periodic, so it is enough to check the cocycle condition on elements in $\mathcal{B}$. But on these elements, m' agrees with m . Hence m' is a cocycle, and so is m'' . Let γ' and γ'' be the corresponding elements in $HH^{3,-1}\hat{H}^*(G)$. In the next two propositions we will show that, for every module M , $\gamma' \cup \text{id}_M = 0$ and $\gamma'' \cup \text{id}_M = 0$ in $\text{Ext}^{3,-1}(M, M)$, respectively. It will then follow that M is a direct summand of a realizable module.

Proposition 3.7. *For every Λ -module M we have $\gamma' \cup \text{id}_M = 0$.*

Proof. Notice that every matrix $A \in \Lambda^{I,J}$ can be uniquely written as a sum

$$A = A_1 + A_x x + A_y y + A_{x^2} x^2 + A_{y^2} y^2 + A_{x^2 y} x^2 y,$$

where the six matrices on the right hand side lie in $k[s^{\pm 1}]^{I,J[?]}$. The first step in our proof will be to find a suitable free resolution

$$M \leftarrow \Lambda^I \xleftarrow{A} \Lambda^J \xleftarrow{B} \Lambda^K \xleftarrow{C} \Lambda^L$$

of M . We begin with the definition of A . Let I be a minimal set of generators of the right Λ -module M , that is, I generates M but any proper subset of I does not generate M (in case that M is not finitely generated one has to use Zorn's lemma to prove the existence of I). The inclusion $I \subseteq M$ induces a surjection $\Lambda^I \rightarrow M$. Let J be a minimal set of generators for the kernel of that map; then we obtain an exact sequence $\Lambda^J \xrightarrow{A} \Lambda^I \rightarrow M$. Taking K to be a minimal set of generators for the kernel of A , we get a map $\Lambda^K \xrightarrow{B} \Lambda^J$ onto that kernel, and finally we let L be a minimal set of generators for the kernel of B to obtain an exact sequence

$$(3.8) \quad M \leftarrow \Lambda^I \xleftarrow{A} \Lambda^J \xleftarrow{B} \Lambda^K \xleftarrow{C} \Lambda^L.$$

We claim that $A_1 = 0$. Assume the contrary and let $i \in I$, $j \in J$ be such that $(A_1)_{i,j} \neq 0$. Then $I - \{i\}$ generates M which contradicts the choice of I . Similarly one shows that $B_1 = 0$ and $C_1 = 0$, and therefore $B_y C_y = (BC)_{y^2} = 0$.

Now define $W = A_x B_y x + A_{x^2} B_y x^2$ and $V = B_y C_{y^2} y^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} AV &= A_x B_y C_{y^2} x^3, \\ WC &= A_x B_y C_x x^2 + A_x \underbrace{B_y C_y}_{0} x^2 + A_x B_y C_{x^2} x^3 + A_x B_y C_{y^2} x^3 \\ &\quad + A_{x^2} B_y C_x x^3 + A_{x^2} \underbrace{B_y C_y}_{0} x^3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $m'(A, B, C) = AV + WC$, and by Proposition 3.2 we get $\gamma' \cup \text{id}_M = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 3.9. *For every Λ -module M we have $\gamma'' \cup \text{id}_M = 0$.*

Proof. We start with a slight modification of the representative m'' . Put $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x, z, x^2, z^2, x^3\}$, and let us define the function g as follows: For all integers i , put

$$\begin{aligned} g(s^{-1}x^2, s^i x) &= s^{i-1}z^2, \\ g(s^{-1}x^2, s^i z) &= s^{i-1}x^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $g(a, b) = 0$ on all other elements a, b in $\{s^i c \mid c \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then $\tilde{m} = m'' + \partial g$ defines a new representative for the element γ'' . For all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ and $i, j \geq 1$ we have

$$\tilde{m}(a, s^i b, s^j c) = m''(a, s^i b, s^j c) + ag(s^i b, s^j c) + g(s^i ab, s^j c) + g(a, s^{i+j} bc) + g(a, s^i b) s^j c,$$

and by definition of m'' and g each summand on the right hand side vanishes. We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(s^{-1}a, s^i b, s^j c) &= m''(s^{-1}a, s^i b, s^j c) + s^{-1}a \underbrace{g(s^i b, s^j c)}_0 + \underbrace{g(s^{i-1}ab, s^j c)}_0 \\ &\quad + g(s^{-1}a, s^{i+j}bc) + g(s^{-1}a, s^i b) s^j c. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that this is zero if $|a| \geq 2$, $|b| \geq 1$ and $|c| \geq 1$. In that case, we have $|bc| \geq 2$ and therefore $g(s^{-1}a, s^{i+j}bc) = 0$, so that it remains to show $m''(s^{-1}a, s^i b, s^j c) = g(s^{-1}a, s^i b) s^j c$, or equivalently

$$m''(s^{-1}a, b, c) = g(s^{-1}a, b)c.$$

To see this, we consider the several cases for a separately. If $a = x^3$, then

$$m''(s^{-1}a, b, c) = s^{-1}x^3 \mathcal{C}(h(b, c)),$$

where h is as in Theorem 2.11. But $|h(b, c)| \geq 1$, so the last expression vanishes, as does $g(s^{-1}a, b)c$. For $a = z^2$ we get

$$m''(s^{-1}a, b, c) = s^{-1}z^2 \mathcal{C}(h(b, c)),$$

but $|h(b, c)| \geq 2$ or $\mathcal{C}(h(b, c))$ is divisible by x , and therefore again the right hand side vanishes. The last case is $a = x^2$ where we need to show

$$s^{-1}x^2 \mathcal{C}(h(b, c)) = g(s^{-1}x^2, b)c.$$

Both sides vanish for degree reasons unless $|b| = |c| = 1$, and in that case both sides will equal $s^{-1}x^3$ if $b \neq c$, and 0 otherwise.

The rest is easy. We start with a free resolution of M as in the proof of Proposition 3.7. We can (and do) assume that the degree $|i|$ of every element $i \in I$ lies in $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Also, we assume that the degree of every element of J lies in $\{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$, the degree of every element of K belongs to $\{-8, -7, -6, -5\}$, and the degree of every element of L is in $\{-15, -14, -13, -12\}$. Then we know that every non-zero entry of B and C is a linear combination of terms of the form $s^i b$ with $i \geq 1$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $|b| \geq 1$. Furthermore, every non-zero entry of A is a linear combination of elements in $B \cup \{s^{-1}x^2, s^{-1}z^2, s^{-1}x^3\}$. By what we have shown above, $\tilde{m}(A, B, C) = 0$, and we are done. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Alejandro Adem and R. James Milgram, *Cohomology of finite groups*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 309, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR2035696 (2004k:20109)
- [2] David Benson, Henning Krause, and Stefan Schwede, *Realizability of modules over Tate cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 9, 3621–3668 (electronic). MR2055748 (2005b:20102)
- [3] Jon F. Carlson, *Modules and group algebras*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996, Notes by Ruedi Suter. MR1393196 (97c:20013)
- [4] Henri Cartan and Samuel Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999, With an appendix by David A. Buchsbaum, Reprint of the 1956 original. MR1731415 (2000h:18022)
- [5] J. Peter May, *Matric Massey products*, J. Algebra **12** (1969), 533–568. MR0238929 (39 #289)

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, WWU MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTR. 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY
 Email-address: martinlanger@uni-muenster.de